IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7634 OF 2005

Smt.Bhamabai Shankar Pawar .. Applicant
versus
The Sate of of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.Vikas Shivarkar for applicant
Mr.R.Y.Mirza, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 1st December 2005.
P.C.

. Applicant is a woman accused involved in an offence which is registered with Indapur police station vide C.R.No.52 of 2005 for offences punishable under sections 302, 363, 365, 342, 323, 504, 506 read with 34 I.P.C.

2. One Tibiki Shinde who is also allegedly involved in the offences and played identical role as that of the present applicant has been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated

20th October 2005 passed in Criminal Application No.6169 of 2005.

3. Prosecution case as well as the role of the said accused and present applicant has been set out extensively in the said order. It is not disputed that the applicant also played identical role as that of Tibiki. For the reasons set out in the said order, present applicant is also entitled to be enlarged on bail. Accordingly, following order:-

i) The applicant shall be enlarged on bail in connection with C.R.No.52 of 2005 registered with Indapur Police station district Pune in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

ii) The bail is granted subject to condition that the applicant will not

enter the area falling within the jurisdiction of Indapur Police Station, Taluka Indapur, Dist. Pune till the conclusion of trial.

iii) The order granting bail will be given effect only after the applicant furnishes to the Investigating Officer a detailed address of place of residence where she proposes to stay after she is enlarged on bail and only after the said place of address is verified by the I.O. to be correct.

iv) The applicant shall attend the concerned local police station after she is enlarged on bail once in a fortnight till the conclusion of trial.

v) Applicant shall not directly, or indirectly make any inducement or threat

to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with prosecution evidence.

vi) Applicant shall cooperate with the learned Trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the trial. Any attempt by the applicant to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

vii) Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any findings or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

viii) Application is disposed of in above terms.

ix) The parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)